CHAPTER 10

IMAGE SEGMENTATION









AN EXAMPLE OF LINE DETECTION





MODELING OF A EDGE



DETAIL NEAR AN EDGE



FIGURE 10.6 (a) Two regions separated by a vertical edge. (b) Detail near the edge, showing a gray-level profile, and the first and second derivatives of the profile.



First derivatives: the <u>magnitude</u> can be used to detect the presence of an edge at a point.

Second derivatives: the <u>sign</u> can be used to determine whether an edge pixel lies on the dark or light side of an edge.









DIAGONAL EDGE DETECTION





AN EXAMPLE OF HORIZONTAL & VERTICAL EDGE DETECTION

The roof tile, horizontal brick joints, and horizontal segments of the windows. 1200x1600 pixels a b c d FIGURE 10.10 (a) Original image. (b) $|G_r|$, component of the gradient in the x-direction. (c) $|G_v|$, component in the y-direction. (d) Gradient image, $|G_x| + |G_y|$. The corner near the wall, the lamp post, vertical brick joints, and vertical segments of the windows. DEF The directionality of the 2 components is evident.

PRINCIPLE EDGE DETECTION

The contribution made to image detail by the wall bricks is significant. This level of detail is often not desirable. One way to reduce it to smooth the image.



a b c d

FIGURE 10.11 Same sequence as in Fig. 10.10, but with the original image smoothed with a 5×5 averaging filter.

> The response of each mask now shows almost <u>no contribution</u> due to the bricks.

The <u>principle</u> edges dominate the result.

Note that averaging caused the response of all edges to be <u>weaker</u>.





If it is important to emphasize the diagonal edges, a diagonal mask can be used.





a b

FIGURE 10.12 Diagonal edge detection. (a) Result of using the mask in Fig. 10.9(c). (b) Result of using the mask in Fig. 10.9(d). The input in both cases was Fig. 10.11(a).

The stronger diagonal response is evident.

The response in H & V directions is <u>weaker</u>.

THE LAPLACIAN

z ₁	Z ₂	Z ₃
Z 4	Z ₅	Z ₆
Z ₇	Z ₈	Z 9

3x3 region of the image

0	-1	0
-1	4	-1
0	-1	0

$$\nabla^2 f = 4z_5$$

-($z_2 + z_4 + z_6 + z_8$

-1	-1	-1
-1	8	-1
-1	-1	-1

$$-(z_2+z_4+z_6+z_8)$$

 $\nabla^2 f = 8z_5$ - $(z_1+z_2+z_3+z_4+z_6+z_7+z_8+z_9)$ (diagonal neighbors included)



THE LAPLACIAN OF A GAUSSIAN (LoG)

<u>The second derivative is a linear operation</u>: convolving an image with $\nabla^2 h$ is the same as convolving the image with *h* first and then computing the Laplacian of the result.



COMPARISON OF 2 APPROACHES FOR EDGE FINDING

ab cd efg



Spatial smoothing function

	-1	-1	-1	Laplacia
	-1	8	-1	mask
2	-1	-1	-1	
			2	1



FIGURE 10.15 (a) Original image. (b) Sobel gradient (shown for comparison). (c) Spatial Gaussian smoothing function. (d) Laplacian mask. (e) LoG. (f) Thresholded LoG. (g) Zero crossings. (Original image courtesy of Dr. David R. Pickens, Department of Radiology and Radiological Sciences, Vanderbilt University Medical Center.)

Sobel gradient

Comparison between (b) and (g):

- 1. Edges in the zero-crossing image are <u>thinner</u>.
- 2. The edges determined by <u>zero-crossings form</u> closed loops (a serious drawback of the method).
- 3. The <u>computation</u> of zero-crossings presents a challenge in general. More sophisticated techniques are often required to obtain acceptable results.
- 4. Zero-crossing methods are of interest because of their <u>noise</u> <u>reduction capabilities</u> and potential for rugged performance.
- 5. Because of the noted limitations, the gradient-based edge finding techniques are still used more frequently.

LOCAL PROCESSING

- A simple approach for <u>linking edge points</u> is to analyze the characteristics of pixels in a small neighborhood (3x3, 5x5) about every point (*x*,*y*) labeled an edge point.
- All points that are similar according to a <u>predefined set of</u> <u>criteria</u> are linked.
- **This forms an edge of pixels** that share the specified criteria.
- **2** principal properties for establishing similarity:
 - **I** The <u>strength</u> of the response of the gradient operator
 - **I** The <u>direction</u> of the gradient operator
- An edge pixel at (x_0, y_0) in the predefined neighborhood of (x, y) is <u>similar in magnitude</u> to the pixel at (x, y) if

 $|\nabla f(x,y) - \nabla f(x_0,y_0)| \leq E, E > 0.$

An edge pixel at (x_0, y_0) in the predefined neighborhood of (x, y) has an angle similar to the pixel at (x, y) if

 $|\alpha(x,y) - \alpha(x_0,y_0)| \le A, A > 0.$

The point at (x_0, y_0) is linked to the pixel at (x, y) if both criteria are satisfied.

AN EXAMPLE OF LOCAL PROCESSING

The objective is to find rectangles whose sizes make them candidates for license plates.

BASIC GLOBAL THRESHOLDING

The <u>chosen threshold *T*</u> achieved a clean segmentation by eliminating the shadows, and leaving only the objects themselves.

This type of global thresholding is expected to be successful in <u>highly</u> <u>controlled environments</u>.

FIGURE 10.28

(a) Original
image. (b) Image
histogram.
(c) Result of
global
thresholding with *T* midway
between the
maximum and
minimum gray
levels.

For example, in industrial applications, <u>illumination can</u> <u>be controlled</u>.

AN EXAMPLE OF AUTOMATIC DETERMINATION OF T

AN EXAMPLE OF BASIC ADAPTIVE THRESHOLDING

AN EXAMPLE OF REGION GROWING

Histogram of (a)

Selection of initial seeds:

All pixels with a value of 255

Selection of criteria for region growing:

- 1. |any pixel seed| < 65
- 2. To be included in a region, the pixel has to be 8-connected to at least one pixel in that region.

No stopping rules were needed: the criteria for region growing were sufficient to isolate the features of interest.

a b c d

FIGURE 10.40 (a) Image

showing defective welds. (b) Seed

points. (c) Result of region growing.

(d) Boundaries of segmented

defective welds (in black). (Original image courtesy of X-TEK Systems,

Ltd.).

Several cracks and porosities

Gray level of Mean gray *j*th pixel in *R*, level in *R*,

If $P(R_i)$ = TRUE, the values of all pixels in R_i are set to m_i .

The <u>shading</u> and the <u>stem</u> were erroneously eliminated.

SEGMENTATION BY MORPHOLGICAL WATERSHEDS

We have discussed segmentation based on 3 principal concepts:

- Detection of discontinuities
- **Thresholding**
- Region processing
- Each approach have <u>advantages</u> and <u>disadvantages</u>.
 - **D** Speed is an advantage of global thresholding
 - The need for postprocessing is a disadvantage in methods based on detecting discontinuities in gray levels
- Segmentation by watersheds embodies many of the concepts of the other 3 approaches, and often produces more stable segmentation results.
- Topographic and hydrology concepts have proven useful in the development of region segmentation methods.
- A monochrome image is considered to be an altitude surface.
 - **I** High-amplitude pixels correspond to ridge points.
 - Low-amplitude pixels correspond to valley points.
- If a drop of water were to fall on any point of the altitude surface, it would move to a lower altitude until it reached a local minimum.
- The accumulation of water in the vicinity of a local minimum is called a catchment basin.
- All points that drain into a common catchment basin are part of the same watershed.